



Remote Viewing Judging Form

Session Metadata

Target ID:

Judge:

Date:

Session File / Reference:

Unified 7-Point Accuracy Scale

7	Excellent, Specific, Unambiguous Correspondence Strong, detailed match to the target with unique, clearly identifiable elements. Analytical structure is coherent and essentially free of incorrect information.
6	Highly Accurate With Minor Discrepancies Good overall correspondence with strong analytic detail. Most major elements align; inaccuracies are small or peripheral.
5	Predominantly Accurate, Key Elements Correct More accurate than inaccurate. Several unambiguous, matchable elements correspond well to the target, though notable incorrect or noisy data is present.
4	Moderate Correspondence With Mixed Accuracy Multiple matchable elements appear, but they are interwoven with significant incorrect information. Overall accuracy is roughly balanced between hits and misses.
3	Weak Correspondence, More Miss Than Hit A mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Some structural or conceptual overlap exists, but the majority of data does not correspond to the target.
2	Minimal Correspondence, Largely Inaccurate A few correct elements may appear, but not enough to exceed chance expectation. Overall correspondence is poor.
1	No Meaningful Correspondence Little to no matchable data. The session does not correspond to the target in any substantive way.

Judge's Assigned Score

Final Accuracy Rating (1–7):

Judging Notes

Correspondences Observed:

Incorrect or Contradictory Elements:

Overall Assessment:

Judge Signature

Judge Signature:

(Signature / Initials)